

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/753,328	12/29/2000	Shane M. Tulloch	3660P021X2	8416
75	90 05/18/2006		EXAM	INER
Lester J. Vincent			PATEL, JAGDISH	
Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor, & Zafman LLP Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			3624	
Los Angeles, CA 90025			DATE MAILED: 05/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/753,328	TULLOCH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JAGDISH PATEL	3624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Fe	ebruary 2006.					
·— · · · · —	action is non-final.					
, 						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15,17,18 and 25-28</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-15, 17-18, 25-28</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/753,328 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to amendment filed 2/23/06.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/23/06 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

3. Claims 1, 6 and 25 have been amended, claims 16, 19-24 have been cancelled and new claims 26-28 have been added. Claims 1-15, 17-18, 25-28 are currently pending.

Response to Arguments

4. Rejection of claims under 35 USC 101 and 35 USC 103(a) as presented in prior office action dated September 1, 2005 have been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/753,328 Page 3

Art Unit: 3624

5. Claims 1-15, 17-18, 25-28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

Independent claims 1,6 and 25-28 contain the following deficiencies, which render the

claims indefinite and correction(s) of which is required.

In a multiple instances the claims recite the limitations in terms of "intended use" or

"intended functionality" of the process steps. The claims should recite such limitations as

positive steps that perform the underlying process.

Examples: claim 1 recites "the computer server executing one or more computer

instructions to assign"; "the computer server executing one or more computer instructions to

transform.." etc. etc. . Here the process steps of assigning and transforming are interpreted as

intended result of the execution of the computer instructions and not as corresponding positive

process steps.

This exemplary analysis also applies to all independent claims and dependent claims.

Examiner's Suggestion:

"the computer server assigning..", "the computer server transforming.." etc.

6. Claim 2-5 and 15 recites the limitation "the transforming". There is insufficient

antecedent basis for this limitation in the parent claim 1.

Appropriate correction(s) is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/753,328

Art Unit: 3624

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 1-15, 17-18, 25-28 are deemed allowable over the prior art of record subject to corrections of the aforementioned deficiencies.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAGDISH PATEL whose telephone number is (571) 272-6748. The examiner can normally be reached on 800AM-600PM M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 517-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jagdish N. Patel

(Primary Examiner, AU 3624)

luntati

5/11/06